Case 8:08-cv-01383-AHS-RNB Document 1 Filed 12/04/2008 Peter L. Recchia, Esq. (SBN 77857) 1 LAW OFFICES OF PETER L. RECCHIA DEC - 4 2008 1605 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 250 Santa Ana, CA 92701 2 Tel: (714) 541-2858 3 Fax: (714) 541-6880 SOUTHERN DIVIDEDRAT SANTA ANA Attnyrecchia@aol.com 4 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, Elizabeth LaValle and all those similarly situated 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SOUTHERN DIVISION 12 **SACV 08-01383 AHS (RNBx)** 13 ELIZABETH LAVALLE, individually Case No.: and on behalf of all others similarly 14 situated, **COMPLEX LITIGATION** Plaintiffs, 15 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 16 v. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 17 **CHEXSYSTEMS** and DOES 1 to 10, Inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 Plaintiff hereby alleges: 23 24 I. NATURE OF THE ACTION 25 1. This lawsuit is brought as a class action by ELIZABETH LAVALLE 26 (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF") on behalf of herself and those persons similarly situated. Plaintiff seeks class-wide recovery of damages, punitive damages, 27 injunctive relief, and attorneys fees for violations of the Federal Fair Credit 28 - 1 -

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	П	
2		
3		
	Ш	

Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.1, et seq., and California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., from Defendant, CHEXSYSTEMS (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "DEFENDANT.")

5

6

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7 2. This Court has jurisdiction over PLAINTIFFS' claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(p). This also has jurisdiction over the instant case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2) because this is a class action where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and there are members of the Plaintiff class who are citizens of a State different from that of DEFENDANT.
  - 3. This Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANT because DEFENDANT has sufficient minimum contacts within this District so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over the DEFENDANT by this Court consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
  - 4. Venue is proper in this Court has jurisdiction over the claims of PLAINTIFF against DEFENDANT because at least one instance of the unlawful conduct of DEFENDANT occurred in the Central District of California.

20

21

22

23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

#### **PLAINTIFF**

5. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff ELIZABETH LAVALLE was and is a resident of the State of California, County of Orange.

24

25

26

27

#### **DEFENDANT**

6. As used in this Complaint, "DEFENDANT" refers to all defendants and unless otherwise specified, every allegation with respect to one defendant is an allegation with respect to each defendant.

4

5 6

8

7

10

11

12

9

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

- 7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges DEFENDANT, CHEXSYSTEMS is a corporation and is authorized to do business in the State of California.
- DEFENDANT is a "consumer credit reporting agency" within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). DEFENDANT regularly engages in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), to third parties. The basis of DEFENDANT's business is providing consumer reports to banking institutions throughout the United States regarding the alleged conduct and experiences consumers, such as PLAINTIFF herein, have had in the manner in which they have handled their bank accounts. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that CHEXSYSTEMS, in conspiracy with the various banks that subscribe to it, has established a "blackball" system whereby consumers cannot open a bank account with any bank that subscribes to CHEXSYSTEMS' consumer reporting system if and when CHEXSYSTEMS maintains a derogatory report associated with the consumers' names. "blackball" system exists whether or not the consumer has a legitimate dispute associated with the information reported by CHEXSYSTEMS, in spite of the fact the reported information may be vague, ambiguous or inaccurate. Furthermore, contrary to the provisions of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.15(f), CHEXSYSTEMS frequently refuses to publish a requested consumer statement on its reports when requested by consumers, such as PLAINTIFF herein, and those similarly situated, thereby further depriving consumers their rights under the law and compounding the negative effects of CHEXSYSTEMS' reports and the resulting "blackball" system.
- PLAINTIFF does not know the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of defendants Does 1 through 1000,

Inclusive. Such fictitious defendants are sued pursuant to United States District Court, Central District of California Local Rule 19-1. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that each fictitious defendant was in some way responsible for, participated in, or contributed to the matter and things of which PLAINTIFF complains herein, and in some form and under some theory, is subject to liability therefore. When the exact nature and identity of such fictitious defendants' responsibility for, participation in, and contribution to the matters herein alleged is ascertained by PLAINTIFF, they will seek leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the same.

- 10. All DEFENDANTS, and each of them, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto and all acts and omissions herein complained of were performed within the course and scope of said relationship.
- 11. DEFENDANTS' founders, owners and executive officers directed, authorized, ratified and/or participated in the conduct that gives rise to the claims asserted herein and derived personal financial benefit from such conduct.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. PLAINTIFF, and all individuals similarly situated, have been reported by CHEXSYSTEMS as having a derogatory banking history and this information has been communicated to another, third party, banking institution. PLAINTIFF, and those similarly situated, have requested and/or demanded that CHEXSYSTEMS either correct or cease reporting the derogatory information associated with their names, and also asked or demanded to have a consumer statement placed on their CHEXSYSTEMS' reports, thereby telling their side of the story, as provided under the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.15(f),

4

5

6

7

9

8

11 12

10

14

15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 13. CHEXSYSTEMS has refused to include Plaintiff's and those similarly situated, consumer statements on their CHEXSYSTEMS' reports contrary to the requirements of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.15(f).
- 14. As a result of DEFENDANT's procedures, reporting practices, and failure and refusal to include requested consumer statements on its CHEXSYSTEMS' reports, the consumer credit reports of PLAINTIFFS and those individuals similarly situated, contain vague, ambiguous, and inaccurate information, without the opportunity of PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated to tell their side of the story by way of a statutorily mandated consumer statement. As such. PLAINTIFF, and those similarly situated, have derogatory reports published by CHEXSYSTEMS associated with their names, and are thereby "blackballed" from participating in the United States banking system, and have been refused the opportunity to tell their side of the story by way of a consumer statement.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Plaintiffs individually and on behalf of herself and all persons similarly situated, seek class-wide relief for injuries resulting from the patterns and practices of unlawful conduct by DEFENDANT.
- Plaintiffs seek to represent the following class of persons: 16.
  - All consumers, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c) residing in the U.S. for whom CHEXSYSTEMS maintains a file, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(g), who, on or after September 15, 2006, requested that CHEXSYSTEMS include a consumer statement of dispute on their CHEXSYSTEMS' consumer report and CHEXSYSTEMS failed to and refused to include the consumer statement on their reports.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the persons 17.

- in the class are so numerous that joinder of all such persons is impracticable and that the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit parties and the Court.
- 18. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes that notice to the Class is possible because the class members in each Class can be noticed through the addresses maintained by DEFENDANT, or through publication or other reasonable means.
- 19. There is a well-defined commonality of interest in questions of law involving and affecting the Classes to be represented in that DEFENDANT, on a class-wide basis, violated similar laws as to all members of the Class.
- 20. There is a well-defined commonality of interest in questions of fact involving and affecting the Class members to be represented in that DEFENDANT implemented a common plan or scheme, on a class-wide basis, to refuse to include consumer statements when requested by consumers on their CHEXSYSTEMS' reports, thereby further damaging PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated and further compounded the problem for these consumers as part of the CHEXSYSTEMS' "blackball" system.
- 21. The claims of PLAINTIFF alleged herein are typical of those claims which could be alleged by any member of the Class and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each of the members of the Class members in separate actions.
- 22. PLAINTIFF and her Counsel will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all members of the Classes.
- 23. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for DEFENDANT and resulting in the impairment of the rights of members of the Class and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties.
- 24. A single class action is superior to numerous individual actions as a means

- of adjudicating these claims. The claims of each individual are relatively small making adjudication of individual claims cost prohibitive.
  - 25. The case is manageable for, among other reasons, the issue that will predominate this action is whether the advertisements are misleading or likely to deceive and not what occurred with each individual transaction.
  - 26. These classes of persons are easily ascertainable through DEFENDANT's records.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

By PLAINTIFF individually and on behalf of all similarly situated, against DEFENDANT

## (WILLFUL FAILURE TO INCLUDE CONSUMER STATEMENT IN

**VIOLATION OF** 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and California Civil Code § 1785.15(f))

- 27. PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all individuals similarly situated, hereby restates, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference herein the paragraphs stated above in this Class Action Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. DEFENDANT violated the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.15(f), by intentionally and willfully failing to and refusing to include a consumer statement of dispute on its CHEXSYSTEMS reports after the statements were requested and/or demanded by the consumer. On August 18, 2008, PLAINTIFF, by and through her attorney, demanded that CHEXSYSTEMS place a consumer statement on her CHEXSYSTEMS' consumer report. On September 14, 2008, CHEXSYSTEMS wrote PLAINTIFF's counsel and advised him that CHEXSYSTEMS would not include PLAINTIFF'S consumer statement on her CHEXSYSTEM's report. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges it is a frequent and common practice of

- CHEXSYSTEMS to refuse consumers' requests and demands for a consumer statement to be included on the consumers' CHEXSYSTEMS' reports.
- As a result of DEFENDANT's failure to comply with the FCRA and CCRAA, PLAINTIFFS and the members of the Classes are entitled to recover statutory damages, punitive damages, costs and attorneys fees in amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1785.31.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- By PLAINTIFFS individually and on behalf of all similarly situated, against DEFENDANT
- 12 (NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO INCLUDE CONSUMER STATEMENT OF 13 DISPUTE IN VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and California Civil Code § 14 1785.15(f).
  - 30. PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all individuals similarly situated, hereby restates, re-allege, and incorporates by reference herein the paragraphs stated above in this Class Action Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
  - 31. DEFENDANT violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.15(f) by failing to include a statement of dispute concerning PLAINTIFF'S and each member of the Class CHEXSYSTEMS' reports.
  - 32. As a result of DEFENDANT's failure to comply with the FCRA and CCRAA, PLAINTIFFS and the members of the Classes are entitled to recover statutory damages, costs and attorneys fees in amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16810 and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.14.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

By PLAINTIFFS individually and on behalf of all similarly situated, against - 8 -

#### \_\_\_\_

### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### DEFENDANT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

### (UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA **BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTIONS 17200 ET SEQ.)**

- 33. PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all individuals similarly situated, hereby restates, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference herein the paragraphs stated above in this Class Action Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. DEFENDANT's conduct at all times mentioned herein constitutes unlawful business competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq. because it engaged in illegal conduct, including but not limited to, violating Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(b) and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785.15(f). DEFENDANT's failure to include PLAINTIFF's and those similarly situated consumer statements in the consumers' CHEXSYSTEMS reports constitutes unfair business competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 35. As a result of DEFENDANT's unfair and/or unlawful business practices, PLAINTIFF and members of the Class have suffered injury in fact and have suffered loss of money or property, including but not limited to erroneous credit reports disseminated to third parties, their inability to open new bank accounts on account of the "blackball" system created and maintained by CHEXSYSTEMS. and the failure of CHEXSYSTEMS to comply with the law by including consumer statements of dispute on their CHEXSYSTEMS' reports.
- 36. As a result of DEFENDANT's unfair or unlawful business practices. PLAINTIFFS and members of the Classes are entitled to injunctive relief.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF and all class members pray for judgment against

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

27 28

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 



# Case 8:08-cv-01383-AHS-RNB Document 1 Filed 12/04/2008 Page 11 of 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL COVER SHEET						
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) ELIZABETH LAVALLE, et.al.,			<b>DEFENDANTS</b> CHEXSYSTEMS, et.al.,			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Adyourself, provide same.)  Peter L. Recchia, Esq. 1605 E. 4th St., Ste. 250	ldress and Telephone Number. If you a	are representing	Attorneys (If Known)			
Santa Ana, CA 92701 (7	14) 541-2858					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRI (Place an X in one box for			SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAI X in one box for plaintiff and			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This	PI	F DEF	orporated or Principal Place Business in this State	PTF DEF e □4 □4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	t	ip Citizen of Anot	her State		orporated and Principal Pla Business in Another State	ce □ 5 □ 5
		Citizen or Subj	ect of a Foreign Country	3 □ 3 For	eign Nation	□6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)					
Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Reopened						
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes	□ No (Check 'Yes	s' only if demanded in comple	aint.)		
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: <b>Y</b> es □ No		MONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLAINT	: \$	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	ou are filing and wr	ite a brief statement of cause.	Do not cite jur	risdictional statutes unless of	diversity.)
15 U.S.C. Section 1681, et.	seq Violations by Defendant of Fe	deral Fair Credit Re	eporting Act			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	e an X in one box only.)					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	TORTS	TORTS	PRIS	ONER . L	ABOR: + + + + +
☐ 400 State Reapportionment		PERSONAL INJUR		4 - PETT	**************************************	Labor Standards
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		<ul><li>10 Airplane</li><li>15 Airplane Produ</li></ul>	PROPERTY ct ☐ 370 Other Fraud	□ 510 Moti	ions to Act ate Sentence ☐ 720 Labo	or/Mamt
□ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 370 Other Flaud		eas Corpus Relat	
Rates/etc.	□ 150 Recovery of □ 3	20 Assault, Libel &	□ 380 Other Personal	□ 530 Gene		
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	, Property Damag			orting &
□ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Lindicollent of	30 Fed. Employers Liability	I 303 Troperty Damag			losure Act
and Corrupt Organizations	Judgment  ☐ 151 Medicare Act	40 Marine	Product Liabilit BANKRUPICY			way Labor Act
	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ 3	45 Marine Product	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC	□ 555 Priso		
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Evel	Liability 50 Motor Vehicle	158	FORFE	<b>ITURÉ</b> / □ 791 Empl	
□ 810 Selective Service	Veterans) □ 3	55 Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28	CONTRACTOR	C9989 AUGUSTO P. T-103990 995 995	rity Act
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	Organism and a f	Product Liabilit	USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS	□ 610 Agri		TY RIGHTS
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	60 Other Personal Injury	□ 441 Voting	Drug	1 1	•
USC 3410	- 460 0: 11 11 10 :	62 Personal Injury	I	□ 625 Drug		
•	☐ 190 Other Contract	Med Malpractic	ce 443 Housing/Acco-	1		SECURITY
☐ 891 Agricultural Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 195 Contract Product ☐ 3  Liability	65 Personal Injury- Product Liabilit	I	Prop 881	perty 21 USC ☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff) k Lung (923)
Act	,	68 Asbestos Person	7	□ 630 Liqu	■ B	
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	Injury Product	Disabilities -	□ 640 R.R.		
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability IMMIGRATION	Employment	□ 650 Airli		
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 4		☐ 446 American with Disabilities -	☐ 660 Occu		(405(g)) LTAX.SUITS
nation Under Equal	☐ 240 Torts to Land	Application	Other	□ 690 Othe		s (U.S. Plaintiff
Access to Justice	Bis fore Frouder Blacking	63 Habeas Corpus	- 110 Outer Civil		or De	efendant)
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 290 All Other Real Property ☐ 4	Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigrat	ion			Third Party 26 7609
State Statutes		Actions			USC	1007
		SACVO	8-01383 AHS (	RNBx)		

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been p	reviously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?   No  Yes		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court that are related to the present case?   No  Yes		
□ <b>B</b> . □ <b>C</b> .	Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons v	ise and the present case:  the or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  tion of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  the vould entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  atent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	tion, use an additional sheet if necessary.)		
(a) List the County in this District;  ☐ Check here if the government, in	California County of ts agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.  oyees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
ORANGE COUNTY				
(b) List the County in this District;  ☐ Check here if the government, it	California County of a gencies or emplo	outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  oyees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
UNKNOWN - VARIOUS		MINNESOTA - CHEXSYSTEMS		
		outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.		
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
ORANGE COUNTY	·-			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside V e the location of the	V . V		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER):	My WCX Date 12-3-08		
or other papers as required by lav	v. This form, appro-	ivil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings ved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))

Act, as amended.

U.S.C. (g))

All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

863

864

865

DIWW

SSID

RSI

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Alicemarie H. Stotler and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV08- 1383 AHS (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery	related motions	should be notice	d on the calendar	of the Magistrate	Judge

#### **NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[ ] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

[ ] Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Peter L. Recchia, Esq. (SBN 77857) LAW OFFICES OF PETER L. RECCHIA

1605 E. 4th Street, Ste. 250

Santa Ana, CA 92701 Phone: (714) 541-2858 Fax: (714) 541-6880

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
ELIZABETH LAVALLE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.	SACV 08-01383 AHS (RNBx)
CHEXSYSTEMS and DOES 1 to 10, Inclusive,  FOR  DEFENDANT(S).	OFFICE USE ONLY
TO: DEFENDANT(S): <u>CHEXSYSTEMS</u> , et.al.,  A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ c ☐ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Pet 1605 E. 4th St., Ste. 250, Santa Ana, CA 92701	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer ser L. Recchia, Esq. , whose address is . If you fail to do so.
udgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court.	elief demanded in the complaint. You also must file  Clerk, U.S. District Courts
Dated: 12-4-08	STEPHANIE MINICIPALITY
Dated.	By: Deputy Clerk

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

SUMMONS